Electrical and Mechanical Services Department

Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

Agreement No. CE 36/2000

Study on the Potential Applications of Renewable Energy in Hong Kong

Public Consultation on Stage 1 Study Findings – Summary of Comments Received

May 2003

Prepared by:

Camp Dresser & McKee International, Inc.



1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 OBJECTIVE OF REPORT

This report summarises the views and opinions received from the public on the findings of Stage 1 of the *Study on the Potential Applications of Renewable Energy in Hong Kong* (hereinafter referred to as the Study).

It is not the intention of this report to provide specific responses to the public comments received. Rather, the objective is to summarise and categorise the views received for further consideration by the Government.

1.2 CONSULTATION PROCESS

The Executive Summary of the Stage 1 Study was posted on the EMSD web site on 6th February 2003. Copies of the full Stage 1 Report were also made available to interested parties on request. The full report was eventually uploaded onto the EMSD web site on 19th March 2003. The period for receipt of public comments closed on 6th April 2003.

A total of 28 submissions were received. A copy of the full original submissions is provided in **Appendix A**. The submissions may be categorised into the following groups:

Green Groups and Non-governmental Organisations

- □ Civic Exchange
- **□** Friends of the Earth, Hong Kong
- Green Peace
- **D** The Conservancy Association

Professional Institutions and Trade Organisations

- **D** The Hong Kong Federation of Electrical and Mechanical Contractors Ltd
- □ Institute of Energy Hong Kong Branch
- □ ASHRAE Hong Kong Chapter
- **D** The Hong Kong PV Consortium
- **D** The Hong Kong Institution of Engineers
- □ Air and Waste Management Association Hong Kong Section

Political Parties

- **u** Hon Law Chi Kwong representing the Democratic Party
- **Citizens** Party

Power Utilities

- □ CLP Power
- **D** The Hongkong Electric Co Ltd

Business Interests

- □ Marubeni Asian Power Ltd
- □ Cheung Kong Infrastructure Holdings Ltd
- □ Shell Solar Pte Ltd

Consulting Companies

- **D** Environmental Resource Management Ltd
- Ove Arup & Partners
- □ Rankine & Hill (Hong Kong) Ltd

Individuals

- Dr Edward Lo
- □ Mr Lee Shiu Fung
- □ Mr Kim Salkeld
- □ Mr Samuel Chan
- Dr Josie Close
- □ Mr Donald Latter
- □ "Blurmax"
- □ Mr Henry Young

2 SUMMARY OF COMMENTS

2.1 OVERVIEW

Twenty-six of the submissions supported promoting wider application of renewable energy (RE) in Hong Kong. The arguments for promoting RE were mainly that it would bring about many environmental, social and economic benefits. There was only one submission that mildly questioned whether there was an urgent need to develop new or increase power generation capacity in Hong Kong.

The views received can be grouped into the following nine broad aspects:

- Definition of RE;
- □ RE Targets;
- □ Import of RE;
- □ Role of Government;
- □ Institutional and Regulatory Issues;
- Grid Access;
- Power Pricing;
- □ Market Issues, including RE financing options and tariff impacts; and
- **D** Technical and Technology Issues.

These views are summarised below.

2.2 **RE DEFINITION**

The term "renewable energy" can be defined in different ways, and there is no universally accepted definition at present. For the purposes of the Study, the Consultants have adopted the general working definition that "*renewable energy sources are secure and inexhaustible, in the sense that there is no problem of reserves being depleted*".

2.2.1 Energy-from-Waste

Six submissions objected to classifying "energy-from-waste" as a RE source, irrespective of the technology with which the energy is extracted from waste. Generally, these submissions considered that only clean resources such as solar and wind should be regarded as truly renewable. One submission specifically objected to using waste-to-energy incineration technology. However, one individual did support the extraction of biogas from organic waste as a RE source.

One suggested the definition adopted by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)¹ on RE should be followed. Another suggested adopting the International Energy Agency (IEA)² definition.

2.2.2 Fuel Cells

Fuel cells are a new energy technology. Four submissions requested that fuel cells should not be considered as a RE source, especially when calculating the projected RE contributions in the future.

2.3 **RE TARGETS**

The Study proposed targets of 1%(2012), 2% (2017) and 3% (2022), given the many identified constraints and barriers.

Eleven submissions considered such targets to be low and conservative. They advocated higher targets, as many overseas countries have set higher targets and this would signal Government's commitment to RE development. Two suggested a 5% RE target (based on wind power) should be considered. One submission proposed alternative RE targets: 1% (2008), 3% (2013) and 6% (2018), excluding energy-from-waste and fuel cells, for further public consultation.

However, two submissions expressed caution over target setting at this stage and opined that more analysis would be needed. An individual suggested that while the proposed targets appeared low, they could be reviewed after the 2003 SCA Interim Review, or when incentive schemes and funding mechanisms have been established.

At the other end of the spectrum, two suggested that the proposed targets are not overly conservative given the many constraints, or indeed could be too high. It was suggested that targets should be based on "achievable" potential. One submission indicated that should government determine to introduce RE on a compulsory basis, targets should be set in consultation with the industry to ensure that the magnitude and implementation mechanism are realistic and beneficial to the community. This was echoed by another, who opined that compulsory targets for RE or any other energy sources may lead to inefficiency.

¹ According to the UNEP (*Natural Selection: Evolving Choices for Renewable Energy Technology and Policy, pp. 5, 2000*), sources of renewable energy exist in the form of direct and indirect solar radiation, the heat of the earth (geothermal energy), and the gravitational effects of the moon that creates the tides. Direct solar radiation striking the earth also drives the global weather system and photosynthesis. This, in turn, creates the wind and waves, as well as biomass (plant and animal matter). Electricity can be generated from solar, wind, biomass, geothermal, hydropower, and ocean resources.

² The Renewable Energy Working Party of the IEA suggests that: "Renewable Energy is energy that is derived from natural processes that are replenished constantly. In its various forms, it derives directly or indirectly from the sun, or from heat generated deep within the earth. Included in the definition is energy generated from solar, wind, biomass, geothermal, hydropower and ocean resources, and biofuels and hydrogen derived from renewable resources." (*Renewables Information 2002, International Energy Agency, pp. iii, 2002*)

2.4 RE IMPORT

The Study focused on RE sources that are available locally. Seven submissions suggested that import of RE power (from Guangdong) should also be considered to supplement local RE resources.

A counter view was presented by two submissions. Both suggested that it would be preferable for Hong Kong to focus on its own local resources. A reason given was that Guangdong is short of electricity supply.

2.5 ROLE OF GOVERNMENT

Fifteen submissions suggested that the Government has an important role to play in RE promotion, by establishing the necessary market and regulatory conditions, and funding research and pilot projects.

Three further suggested that as the Government is the largest electricity consumer and/or owner of building stocks in Hong Kong, it alone could create a strong demand for RE power.

2.6 INSTITUTIONAL AND REGULATORY ISSUES

2.6.1 Institutional

One submission suggested that an Energy Commission or equivalent should be set up to coordinate all energy related policies.

2.6.2 Regulatory

Ten submissions suggested that the current Scheme of Control Agreements were hindering the development of RE in Hong Kong. RE development should be incorporated into the post-2008 electricity framework. One suggested that a new arrangement alongside the current SCAs would be needed at present to cover the transition to the new post-2008 regulatory regime.

Three advocated the introduction of more competition into the electricity market, through opening up the market to independent power producers. They argued that decentralised generation would facilitate development of some RE systems.

However, there was a view that deregulation of the electricity market for open competition could destroy the goals of RE and rational energy use. It was opined that new profit incentives for the power companies to develop clean power sources would benefit Hong Kong far more than a single competition policy.

2.7 GRID ACCESS

Seven submissions suggested that independent power producers should be allowed to access the grid. One specifically called for non-discriminatory grid access by RE generators, through setting up of an independent transmission operator.

2.8 **POWER PRICING**

Five submissions commented that the current pricing of conventional power (which does not account for environmental and social costs) is a barrier to development of RE in Hong Kong. Two further argued that some RE technologies would be cheaper than conventional fossil fuel power if these externalities were factored in the cost of electricity generation.

2.9 MARKET ISSUES, FINANCING OPTIONS AND TARIFF IMPACT

The Study proposed three financing options for RE development:

- □ Modifying the current SCAs to include RE projects;
- General Levy to fund RE projects; and
- □ Voluntary Green Power Scheme.

One submission suggested that the Government should set a RE target for the power companies, and advocated the adoption of a Voluntary Green Power Scheme (with Government underwriting a *purchase guarantee*). Another supported both the setting up of a General Levy and Voluntary Green Power Scheme. Yet another suggested that Government should provide direct funding of RE projects.

However, three submissions did suggest that more analysis of supply, demand and tariff impacts on users should be conducted before a decision should be made. One submission remarked that RE projects should be economically justifiable and socially beneficial without having one group of customers subsidising another.

Another submission pointed out that the impact of wind power utilisation on electricity tariff would need to be evaluated further, but suggested that there should be no significant impact in the near future due to the small capacity involved and the market price would be subject to many policy and technical issues.

2.10 TECHNICAL AND TECHNOLOGY ISSUES

The key points were:

- □ Nine submissions advocated deployment of wind energy systems, owing to its costcompetitiveness and short development period. However, one submission suggested that the Study had over-estimated the wind energy potential and under-estimated its cost.
- □ Decentralised generation was advocated, especially building integrated solar PV systems for Hong Kong's dense built environment.
- **u** Two submissions suggested further consideration of tidal power systems.

- □ More detailed technical analysis should be conducted on emerging technologies, balanced resources, PV system performance, wind farm siting, etc.
- □ Wind and PV power could be used to produce electrolytic hydrogen, which can in turn be used as a fuel in fuel cells or internal combustion engines.
- **u** Using biogas (from sewage treatment plants) and landfill gas as fuel in fuel cells.
- Wind farms could be sited at offshore locations to minimise visual and social impacts.
- **□** Supply reliability must not be compromised as a result of adopting RE sources.

Appendix A