

根據強制性能效標籤計劃 (強制性標籤計劃) 進行的能源表現監察測試結果 (空調機) - 2022年
Results of Compliance Monitoring Tests on Energy Performance under Mandatory Energy Efficiency Labelling Scheme (MEELS)
(Room Air Conditioners) - Year 2022

項目 No.	品牌 Brand	型號 Model Name	獲機電工程署備記 參考編號 EMSD Assigned Reference Number	強制性標籤計劃 的能源效益級別 Energy Efficiency Grade under MEELS	額定制冷量 (千瓦) ^{註2} Rated Cooling Capacity (kW) ^{Note 2}	額定制冷功率 (千瓦) ^{註2} Rated Cooling Power Consumption (Rated Wattage) (kW) ^{Note 2}	額定供暖量 (千瓦) ^{註2} Rated Heating Capacity (kW) ^{Note 2}	額定供暖功率 (千瓦) ^{註2} Rated Heating Power Consumption (Rated Wattage) (kW) ^{Note 2}	測試出的製冷量 (千瓦) ^{註2} Measured Cooling Capacity (kW) ^{Note 2}	測試出的製冷功率 (千瓦) ^{註2} Measured Cooling Power Consumption (Measured Wattage) (kW) ^{Note 2}	測試出的供暖量 (千瓦) ^{註2} Measured Heating Capacity (kW) ^{Note 2}	測試出的供暖功率 (千瓦) ^{註2} Measured Heating Power Consumption (Measured Wattage) (kW) ^{Note 2}	是否符合強制性標籤 計劃的要求? ^{註1} Conformance with MEELS Requirements? ^{Note 1}	備註 Remark
測試結果發放日期: 2022年12月 Test Results Release Date: December 2022														
1	Panasonic	CW-XN719IA	U2-C190055	4	2.17	0.71	N/A	N/A	2.22	0.72	N/A	N/A	是 Yes	
測試結果發放日期: 2022年05月 Test Results Release Date: May 2022														
1	大金 DAIKIN	FTWX35AXV1/RWX35AXV1	U1-C150313	1	3.20	1.04	4.20	1.51	3.36	1.09	4.05	1.48	是 Yes	^{註3} Note 3
2	Panasonic	CS-E9VKA / CU-E9VKA	U1-C190036	1	2.50	0.53	3.40	0.73	2.64	0.58	3.27	0.80	是 Yes	

- 註: 1. 如空調機某表列型號的單一標本的測試結果符合以下標準(產品能源標籤實務守則2020第7.11段), 則該表列型號會獲接納為符合有關規定:
- 在標準製冷條件 (T1 氣候) 下進行最大製冷輸出測試所測試出的製冷量 $\phi_{cool}(35)$, 不低於其額定制冷量的 90%。在標準供暖條件 (H1 氣候) 下進行最大供暖輸出測試所測試出的供暖量 $\phi_{heat}(7)$, 不低於其額定供暖量的 90%;
 - 在標準製冷條件 (T1 氣候) 下進行最大製冷輸出測試所測試出的功率消耗量 $P_{cool}(35)$, 不高於其額定功率消耗量的 110%。在標準供暖條件 (H1 氣候) 下進行最大供暖輸出測試所測試出的功率消耗量 $P_{heat}(7)$, 不高於其額定功率消耗量的 110%;
 - 計算出的製冷季節性表現系數不得低於其額定制冷季節性表現系數的 92%。計算出的供暖季節性表現系數不得低於其額定供暖季節性表現系數的 92%;
 - 附有第 1、2、3 或 4 級能源標籤的淨製冷型及逆轉循環型空調機已通過最大製冷表現測試。附有第 1、2、3 或 4 級能源標籤的逆轉循環型空調機已通過最大供暖表現測試; 及
 - 所測試出的能源效益級別符合以下其中一項規定:
 - 在監察測試中所計算出的製冷能源效益級別, 相等於指明人士向機電工程署呈交的測試結果所釐定的製冷能源效益級別或較該級別為佳。在監察測試中所計算出的供暖能源效益級別, 相等於指明人士向機電工程署呈交的測試結果所釐定的供暖能源效益級別或較該級別為佳; 或
 - 在監察測試中所計算出的製冷能源效益級別, 如不等於向機電工程署呈交的測試結果所釐定的製冷能源效益級別或較該級別為差, 則在監察測試中所計算出的製冷季節性表現系數, 不得低於向機電工程署呈交的測試結果所計算出的製冷季節性表現系數的 92%, 並在任何情況下都不低於下一個較低製冷能源效益級別所允許的最低製冷季節性表現系數。如在監察測試中所計算出的供暖能源效益級別, 不等於向機電工程署呈交的測試結果所釐定的供暖能源效益級別或較該級別為差, 則在監察測試中所計算出的供暖季節性表現系數, 不得低於向機電工程署呈交的測試結果所計算出的供暖季節性表現系數的 92%, 並在任何情況下都不低於下一個較低供暖能源效益級別所允許的最低供暖季節性表現系數。
(註: 製冷季節性表現系數用作釐定產品能源效益級別, 如欲了解詳細的計算方法, 可參閱產品能源標籤實務守則2020第 7.6 段。)
2. 表列的數值經四捨五入方式顯示。
3. 該型號在首次測試未能符合要求後, 在進一步的測試中所得的測試結果。

- Note: 1. A listed model of room air conditioner will be accepted as conformance if the test results of a single sample of the listed model meet the following criteria (clause 7.11 of the Code of Practice on Energy Labelling of Products 2020 (the Code)):
- The tested cooling capacity $\phi_{cool}(35)$ from cooling full capacity test at standard cooling condition (T1 climate) being not less than 90% of the rated cooling capacity. The tested heating capacity $\phi_{heat}(7)$ from heating full capacity test at standard heating condition (H1 climate) being not less than 90% of the rated heating capacity;
 - The tested power consumption $P_{cool}(35)$ from cooling full capacity test at standard cooling condition (T1 climate) being not greater than 110% of the rated power consumption. The tested power consumption $P_{heat}(7)$ from heating full capacity test at standard heating condition (H1 climate) being not greater than 110% of the rated power consumption;
 - The calculated cooling seasonal performance factor being not less than 92% of the rated cooling seasonal performance factor. The calculated heating seasonal performance factor being not less than 92% of the rated heating seasonal performance factor;
 - The cooling only type and reverse cycle type room air conditioner (with a Grade 1, 2, 3 or 4 energy label) passing the maximum cooling performance test. The reverse cycle type room air conditioner (with a Grade 1, 2, 3 or 4 energy label) passing the maximum heating performance test; and
 - The tested energy efficiency grade meeting either one of the following:
 - The cooling energy efficiency grade calculated in the compliance monitoring testing being equal to or better than the cooling energy efficiency grade determined by the test results submitted to the EMSD by the specified person. The heating energy efficiency grade calculated in the compliance monitoring testing being equal to or better than the heating energy efficiency grade determined by the test results submitted to the EMSD by the specified person; or
 - If the cooling energy efficiency grade calculated in the compliance monitoring testing being not equal to nor better than the cooling energy efficiency grade determined by the test results submitted to the EMSD, the cooling seasonal performance factor calculated in the compliance monitoring testing being not less than 92% of the cooling seasonal performance factor calculated by the test results submitted to the EMSD, and in any cases not less than the lowest cooling seasonal performance factor allowed in the next lower cooling energy efficiency grade. If the heating energy efficiency grade calculated in the compliance monitoring testing being not equal to nor better than the heating energy efficiency grade determined by the test results submitted to the EMSD, the heating seasonal performance factor calculated in the compliance monitoring testing being not less than 92% of the heating seasonal performance factor calculated by the test results submitted to the EMSD, and in any cases not less than the lowest heating seasonal performance factor allowed in the next lower heating energy efficiency grade.
(Remark: Cooling seasonal performance factor is used to determine the energy efficiency grade of a product. Please refer to clause 7.6 of the Code of Practice on Energy Labelling of Products 2020 for details of calculation method.)
2. All values are rounded figures.
3. Results of further testing conducted after failure of the product to pass the first test.