

Agreement No. CE 49/2013 (EM)
District Cooling System (DCS) at the Kai Tak Development (KTD) Phase III (Remaining) –
Design and Construction

Assessment Criteria and Weightings for Marking Expression of Interest

1. Panel Members are required to assess the 4-page submission of the EOI for screening the longlist to form the shortlist. Their assessments are not necessarily restricted to their area of expertise but in an overall manner in accordance with EACSB Handbook Clause 3.5.2. The criteria for assessing the 4-page submission of the EOI are as follows: -

Section	Assessment Criteria	Weighting (%)
(a)	Approach to the assignment and appreciation of the requirements	15
(b)	Previous relevant experience both in Hong Kong and elsewhere	30
(c)	Knowledge, experience and capability of key staff	35
(d)	Past performance of the consultant	15
(e)	Past performance of sub-consultants	5
<u>Total</u>		100

2. Weighting of marking Members is shown below: -

<u>Panel Member from</u>	<u>Weighting</u>
Electrical and Mechanical Services Department	75%
Civil Engineering and Development Department	<u>25%</u>
	100%

3. For Assessment Criteria 1, 2 and 3, Panel Members will insert grades and weighted scores as follows: -

Grades	Weighted Scores
Very Good (VG)	1.0 x Y
Good (G)	0.8 x Y
Fair (F)	0.6 x Y
Poor (P)	0.3 x Y

Note: Y is the maximum score allocated to the criterion under consideration.

4. For Assessment Criteria 4 and 5, the Past Performance will be marked using the Consultant's Past Performance Ratings in accordance with DEVB TCW No. 2/2009.
5. The score for each criterion shall be summed up to give a total score for the 4-page submission of each consultant in respect of each Panel Member. Addition of the weighted total scores of all Panel Members will give the final score for the 4-page submission of each consultant. Normally four consultants with the highest weighted total scores will be included in the Shortlist to be recommended to EACSB for approval.
6. Pursuant to DEVB TCW No. 2/2009 Annex I paragraph 38, it is proposed that the past performance of sub-consultants shall be assessed in Technical Proposals, and the proposed marks allocated to the past performance of the consultants and sub-consultants are 20% and 5% respectively.